UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	54 B 4 B 4 B 5	ELDOMALA AED DIALENTOD	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONCIDIALATIONANO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,019	11/14/2003	Matthew Hunt	RS149	1018
23470 7590 03/12/2007 SRAM CORPORATION EXAMINER				INER
1333 N. KINGSBURY, 4TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60622			BOES, TERENCE	
CHICAGO, IL	00022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,019	HUNT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terence Boes	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	CATION. Teply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this come BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 December 2006.	•	
· —	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	ninar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	-		1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority documents have been	received in this National St	age
application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
·			·
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ·	

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-6,11-22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamane USP 6155132.

Re claims 1-6, 11-22, and 26 Yamane discloses a(n):

- Body (30)
- Handlebar (14)
- Actuator assembly (12)
- Lever arm (23)
- Pivot axis (See phantom line through 50 and 51, Figure 3)
- Suspension adjust cable (18)
- Actuator control assembly (22)
- Locking assembly (72)
- Adjustment assembly (73)
- Locking guide surface (91)
- Locking region (interior of 91)
- Locking follower assembly (121 and 35 are a subassembly of 27)

Art Unit: 3682

- Follower pin (121)
- Follower actuator and push-button (35)
- Biasing member (95)
- Adjustment guide surface (See surface of 61 Figure 3)
- Adjustment screw (34) having a threadform (49)
- Adjustment follower (51) having a threadform (interior of 51)
- Adjustment wheel (head of 34)
- Cable securing assembly (See Figure 4)
- Actuation tab (63)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-10 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamane in view of Ekins USP 5966993.

Re claims 7-10 all of the claim limitations are met, as stated above, but a pin/mating pin is not disclosed.

Ekins discloses a pin/mating pin (54) for the purpose of preventing the coarse threaded sleeve from turning (Column 3, lines 60-67).

Art Unit: 3682

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the adjustment follower of Yamane and employ a pin, as taught by Ekins, for the purpose of preventing the coarse threaded sleeve from turning (Column 3, lines 60-67).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a) The applicant argues that "... Yamane fails to disclose a suspension adjustment actuator apparatus including an actuator assembly movable between first and second positions wherein a second suspension setting corresponding to the second position is adjustable independently or without affecting a first suspension setting corresponding to the first position..."

In response, Yamane discloses an actuator assembly (12) that is capable of moving between first and second positions wherein a second suspension setting corresponding to the second position is adjustable independently or without affecting a first suspension setting corresponding to the first position.

Additionally, the examiner notes a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed

Art Unit: 3682

invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Furthermore, the examiner notes that while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to >an< apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Therefore, claims 1-6, 11-22, 17-22, and 26 are rejected since all claim limitations have been met as disclosed above (see MPEP 2114).

The applicant argues that "...The combination of Yamane and Ekins fails teach or suggest a suspension adjustment actuator apparatus including an actuator assembly movable between first and second positions wherein a second suspension setting corresponding to the second position is adjustable independently or without affecting a first suspension setting corresponding to the first position...".

In response, Yamane discloses an actuator assembly (12) that is capable of moving between first and second positions wherein a second suspension setting corresponding to the second position is adjustable independently or without affecting a first suspension setting corresponding to the first position.

Art Unit: 3682

Additionally, the examiner notes a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Furthermore, the examiner notes that while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to >an< apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Therefore, claims 1-6, 11-22, 17-22, and 26 are rejected since all claim limitations have been met as disclosed above (see MPEP 2114).

4. The examiner further notes the applicant has chosen not to respond to the rejection of claims 1, 2, and 16 by Kuo USP 6,767,024.

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terence Boes whose telephone number is (571) 272-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TB 3/6/07

> RICHARD RIDLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER